Appendix 2

KPI Ref	Description	Target 2016/17	Q3 2016/17 Performance	Proposed Target 2017/18	Target changed Yes/No	Comments/justification for proposed target for 2017/18 and reasons for targeted reductions in performance				
	Communities Directorate									
	Rent collected			99.00%						
COM001	from current and former tenants as a % of rent due (excluding arrears brought forward)	99.00%	100.07%	Amber tolerance = 0.50% point below target	No	The current and proposed targets are challenging, especially in the light of the forthcoming introduction of universal credit and direct payments to claimants.				
	On average, how			37	No	As can be seen, the Q3 target was not met (nor was Q1 or Q2). It is felt inappropriate to lower weaken the target for this important area; therefore, it is proposed to keep the target the same and seek to meet it next year.				
COM002	many days did it take us to re-let a Council property?	Days 37		Amber tolerance = 1 day above target						
	How satisfied were	-	99.65%	98.00%		The current target is already very high and well into the Top Quartile in the country. In view of				
СОМ003	our tenants with the standard of the repairs service they received?			No amber tolerance appropriate	No	the exceptional, ongoing levels of satisfaction, it is not considered necessary or appropriate to reduce the Council's aspirations for performance against this indicator				

KPI Ref	Description	Target	Q3 2016/17	Proposed	Target	Comments/justification for proposed target
COM004	How many households were housed in temporary	low many ouseholds were oused in emporary	the effects of homelessness, that this may			
	accommodation?			tolerance = 7		the increase. This lower target threshold is therefore proposed to strive for.
				0.0%		It is not possible to make the target any more challenging. Although the Council achieved its target of having no non-decent homes a number of years ago, with the loss in rental
COM005	What percentage of our council homes were not in a decent condition?	0.0% 0.0% No amber tolerance appropriate		tolerance	No	income to the HRA (due to the 1% rent reductions), one of the options to be considered as part of the forthcoming Stage 1 HRA Financial Options Review is to reduce investment in the housing stock and no longer have a Modern Home Standard. It is therefore considered essential to ensure that, at the very least, properties that may fail the standard in the near future are identified, and appropriate programmes of work continue to be put into place, to ensure that the Council continues to have no non-decent homes at any time.

KPI Ref	Description	Target	Q3 2016/17	Proposed	Target	Comments/justification for proposed target for 2017/18 and reasons for targeted
	How many of the key building			See comment		Action to be deleted: Following he Stage 1 HRA Financial Options Review, the Finance and Performance Management Cabinet Committee agreed to recommend to the Cabinet that the Council should no longer pursue its Modern Homes Standard for our existing properties, but should revert to the Government's Decent Home Standard.
COM006	components required to achieve the Modern Homes Standard were renewed?	Number 3300	2116	16 Amber tolerance = 2% below target	No	
	What percentage of all emergency repairs (including out of hours emergencies), are attended to within 4 working hours?		99.19%	99.00%	No	In view of the existing challenging target and the fact that it reflects the KPI within the Repairs Management Contract with Mears, it is proposed that the current target for this KPI should continue for 2017/18.
COM007		99.00%		Amber tolerance = 1.00% below target		

KPI Ref	Description	Target	Q3 2016/17	Proposed	Target changed	Comments/justification for proposed target for 2017/18 and reasons for targeted
COM008	What is the average overall time to complete all responsive repairs, from the time the request is made to the time the job is completed?	Working days 7.00	5.58	7.00 Amber tolerance = 1.00 working day above target	No	In view of the existing challenging target, and the fact that it reflects the KPI within the Repairs Management Contract with Mears, it is suggested that the current target for this KPI should continue for 2017/18.
COM009	What percentage of appointments for repairs are both made and kept?	98.00%	98.00%	98.00% Amber tolerance = 1.00% below target	No	In view of the existing challenging target and the fact that it reflects the KPI within the Repairs Management Contract with Mears, it is suggested that the current target for this KPI should continue for 2017/18.
COM010	What percentage of calls are answered by the Council's Careline Service within 60 seconds?	97.50%	99.80%	97.50% Amber tolerance = 1.00% below target	No	The indicator is a national requirement and target set by the Telecare Services Association (TSA) for all control centres that meet the TSA's stringent accreditation requirements. The target is very challenging, within the top quartile, and it is proposed that it continues for 2017/18.

KPI Ref	Description	Target 2016/17	Q3 2016/17 Performance	Proposed Target 2017/18	Target changed Yes/No	Comments/justification for proposed target for 2017/18 and reasons for targeted reductions in performance					
	Governance Directorate										
GOV004	What percentage of major planning applications were processed within 13 weeks or extension of time date?	90.00%	93.33%	90.00% Amber tolerance = 2.00% below target	no	Target is sufficiently testing and increased workload anticipated					
GOV005	What percentage of minor planning applications were processed within 8 weeks or extension of time date?	90.00%	92.11%	90.00% Amber tolerance = 2.00% below target	no	Target is sufficiently testing and increased workload anticipated					
GOV006	What percentage of other planning applications were processed within 8 weeks or extension of time date?	94.00%	95.43%	94.00% Amber tolerance = 2% below target	no	Target is sufficiently testing and increased workload anticipated					
GOV007	What percentage of planning applications recommended for refusal were overturned and granted permission following an appeal?	20.0%	27.1%	20.0% Amber tolerance = 2.0% above target	no	Although challenging it is appropriate for the professional team to be set high standards					

BCopson 9/3/17

KPI Ref	Description	Target 2016/17	Q3 2016/17 Performance	Proposed Target 2017/18	Target changed Yes/No	Comments/justification for proposed target for 2017/18 and reasons for targeted reductions in performance
What percentage of planning applications, refused			50.0%			
GOV008	by members against a recommendation, were granted permission following an appeal?	50.0%	70.0%	Amber tolerance = 5.0% above target	no	This is a reasonable target for Members applying the appropriate tests

KPI Ref	Description	Target 2016/17	Q3 2016/17 Performance	Proposed Target 2017/18	Target changed Yes/No	Comments/justification for proposed target for 2017/18 and reasons for targeted reductions in performance
			Ν	leighbourhoods Dire	ectorate	
	NEI001 How much non- recycled waste was collected for every household in the district?			400		
NEI001		kg 400	306	Amber tolerance = 5% below target	No	Although new recycling initiatives planned, full effect will not be seen until 2018/19.
	What percentage of	hat percentage of		8%		Biffa have made improvements in the management of street cleansing operations. 8% should be achievable.
NEI003	our district had	8%	9%	Amber tolerance = 1% above target	No	

KPI Ref	Description	Target 2016/17	Q3 2016/17 Performance	Proposed Target 2017/18	Target changed Yes/No	Comments/justification for proposed target for 2017/18 and reasons for targeted reductions in performance
	What percentage of our district had			10%	_	
NEI004	NEI004 unacceptable levels of detritus (dust, mud, stones, rotted leaves, glass, plastic etc.)?	10%	9%	Amber tolerance = 1% above target	No	Maintain target for another year.
	NEI005What percentage of the issues and complaints received by the Environment & Neighbourhoods Team received an initial response within 3 days?			95.80%	No	Maintain as stretch target
NE1005		95.50%	98.80%	Amber tolerance = 1.00% below target		
	What percentage of the recorded incidences of fly-	e recorded cidences of fly- ping are vestigated within 3 orking days of the -tip being recorded here the fly-tip is public or privately		90.00%	Yes	Fly-tipping on increase due to changes of County Civic Amenity Sites.
NE1006	tipping are investigated within 3 working days of the fly-tip being recorded where the fly-tip is on public or privately owned land?			Amber tolerance = 1.00% below target		

## Key Performance Indicators 2017/18 (All) - Review and Target

\_\_\_\_\_

KPI Ref	Description	Target 2016/17	Q3 2016/17 Performance	Proposed Target 2017/18	Target changed Yes/No	Comments/justification for proposed target for 2017/18 and reasons for targeted reductions in performance
	What percentage of the recorded			90.00%		
NEI007	incidences of fly-	90.00%	91.51%	Amber tolerance = 1.00% below target	No	Maintain as stretch target
	What percentage of the recorded incidences of fly-	90.00%	94.24%	90.00%	No	Maintain as stretch target
NEI008	tipping (variation order / non-contract) are removed within 10 working days of being recorded?			Amber tolerance = 1.00% below target		
	What percentage of out of hours noise	90.00%	92.38%	90.00%	No	Maintain as current target
NE1009	complaints that are passed through to the duty noise officer are responded to within 15 minutes?			Amber tolerance = 1.00% below target		

KPI Ref	Description	Target 2016/17	Q3 2016/17 Performance	Proposed Target 2017/18	Target changed Yes/No	Comments/justification for proposed target for 2017/18 and reasons for targeted reductions in performance
	What was the net increase or decrease			315		Some new incentives are contained within the housing white paper to encourage developers to bring forward sites and therefore may need to be
NEI010	in the number of homes in the district?	230	85	Amber tolerance = within 5% below target	Yes	reviewed the following year. However it is proposed to set annual targets in line with the Local Plan residential trajectory.
NEI011	What percentage of the rent we were due to be paid for our	2.5%	1.8%	2.0%	Yes	Slight increase in target to reflect improved
NEIUTT	commercial premises was not paid?	2.5%	1.070	Amber tolerance = 0.5% above target	Tes	performance.
	What percentage of our commercial	ercial 98 00%	6 97.42%	98.00%	No	Maintain current target
NEI012	premises was let to tenants?			Amber tolerance = 1.00% below target		
	What percentage of all household waste			26.00%		Newly introduced indicator for 16/17 which has
NEI013	was sent to be recycled or reuse?	30.00%	25.00%	Amber tolerance = 2% below target	Yes	been kept under review. Change proposed to reflect this year's collection figures.
	What percentage of all household waste	nousehold waste s sent to be 30.00% nposted or	33.15%	33.00%	Yes	As above
NEI014				Amber tolerance = 2% below target		

BCopson 9/3/17

KPI Ref	Description	Target 2016/17	Q3 2016/17 Performance	Proposed Target 2017/18	Target changed Yes/No	Comments/justification for proposed target for 2017/18 and reasons for targeted reductions in performance					
	Resources Directorate										
	How mony working			7.25							
RES001	How many working days did we lose due to sickness absence?	7.50 days	5.03	Amber tolerance = 7.51 days - 8.0 days	Yes	As there has been an improvement perhaps we should consider a reduced target for 2017/18					
				97%		Keep the target the same, 98% is unlikely to be achievable until e-invoicing is fully operational including those orders processed through OHMS.					
RES002	What percentage of the invoices we received was paid within 30 days?	97.0%	97.0% 97.0%	Amber tolerance = 1% below target	No						
	What percentage of			97.80%		From collections in 2016/17 so far it is reasonable to increase this target.					
RES003	the district's annual	97.10%	78.00%	Amber tolerance = 0.50% below target	Yes						
	What percentage of			97.80%	No	97.8% has proved a challenging target for 2016/17 and difficulties are anticipated in 2017/18 with the new rating list.					
RES004	the district's annual business rates was collected?	97.80%	78.02%	Amber tolerance = 0.50% below target							

KPI Ref	Description	Target 2016/17	Q3 2016/17 Performance	Proposed Target 2017/18	Target changed Yes/No	Comments/justification for proposed target for 2017/18 and reasons for targeted reductions in performance
	On average, how	22.00		21.00 days		
RES005	many days did it take us to process new benefit claims?	22.00 days	21.98	Amber tolerance = 1.50 days above target	Yes	New target set which is challenging but achievable
	On average, how many days did it take us to process			6.00 days		No change proposed as challenging target already
RES006	notices of a change in a benefit claimant's circumstances?	6.00 days	7.69	Amber tolerance = 1.00 days above target	No	in place. However, target needs to be profiled as 9 days for quarters 1,2 and 3, reducing to 6 days in quarter 4.
	Are customer needs	99 60%	99.73%	see comment		The Resources Select Committee have
RES009	being met by the Corporate Website being available?			Amber tolerance = 0.60% below target		suggested that this indicator should be deleted
	Are customer needs being met by the			see comment		
RES010		Amber tolerance = 1.00% below target		The Resources Select Committee have suggested that this indicator should be deleted		
	Are customer needs			see comment		
RES011	11 main (Corporate /9.90% 80.34% Amber telerance	The Resources Select Committee have suggested that this indicator should be deleted				

Appendix 2